

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

SEP 01 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

EDIN MUJADZIC,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

DOUG SERA,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 07-35594

D.C. No. CV-07-00050-MWM

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Oregon Michael W. Mosman, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 20, 2009**

Before: WALLACE, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Edin Mujadzic appeals pro se from the district court's order dismissing his action against a former coworker under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo. *Barren v. Harrington*, 152 F.3d 1193, 1194 (9th Cir. 1998) (order). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed Mujadzic's action because individual employees cannot be held liable under Title VII. *See Miller v. Maxwell's Int'l Inc.*, 991 F.2d 583, 587-88 (9th Cir. 1993) (explaining that Title VII limits civil liability to the employer).

Mujadzic's remaining contentions are unpersuasive.

Mujadzic's "Motion to Payback Money by 6-22-2009" is denied.

AFFIRMED.